



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/779,183 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

ELLINGTON

	Bryan Fischmann	3616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Bryan Fischmann	(3)		
(2) Bill Blackman	(4)		
Date of Interview Apr 19, 2002			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	applicant's representative] Mo. If yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9			
Identification of prior art discussed: None			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) Was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that proposed amendments to independent claims 1 and 9 including a limatations concerning length of the top board, the height of the top board from the bottom board and the top and bottom board remaining substantially parallel will overcome the cited prior art. Allowability of claims still subject to a further search and concurrence of a Primary Examiner.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, it available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	L WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAS EP section 713.04). If a reply to M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	ST OFFICE ACT the last Office ad ILE A STATEME	ON MUST ction has NT OF THE
,			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an $\mbox{\sc Attachment}$ to a signed Office action.